

# Vantage Learning 6-Point Informative/Expository Writing Rubric

|                  | Focus and Purpose   | Content Development/Elaboration  | Organization  | Language Use, Voice, and Style  | Mechanics and Conventions  |
|------------------|---|--|---|---|--|
|                  | The extent to which the response establishes and maintains a thesis/controlling idea, demonstrates a clear understanding of purpose and audience, and completes all parts of the task.  | The extent to which the response develops ideas by integrating specific, relevant support and/or source-based evidence¹ (e.g., concrete details, facts, examples, quotes, statistics, and explanations).  1. If applicable with prompt tasks that include sources. | The extent to which the response demonstrates a cohesive and unified organizational structure, paragraphing, and transitional strategies that clarify relationships among ideas and concepts.   | The extent to which the response establishes and consistently maintains a style and tone appropriate to the audience, demonstrates effective control of language, and uses domain-specific word choice and varied sentence structure. | The extent to which the response demonstrates control of mechanics and conventions, including grammar, punctuation, and spelling.                        |
| 6-Very Effective | Demonstrates a thorough and insightful understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Establishes and maintains a clearly focused thesis/controlling idea throughout the response. Completes all parts of the task and may go beyond the limits of the task. | Develops ideas by adeptly integrating specific details and/or citing credible, source-based evidence <sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses a variety of elaborative techniques.  | Demonstrates a cohesive and unified structure that clarifies relationships among ideas and concepts. Contains an engaging introduction and an insightful conclusion. Uses effective and skillful paragraphing and transitional strategies throughout. | Demonstrates a very effective style and tone, precise control of language, domain-specific word choice, and an exceptional awareness of audience. Uses well-structured and varied sentences.  | Contains few or no errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling.  |
| 5-Good           | Demonstrates a clear understanding of<br>the task, purpose, and audience.<br>Establishes and maintains an effective<br>thesis/controlling idea throughout the<br>response. Completes all parts of the<br>task.  | Develops ideas by successfully integrating specific details and/or citing credible, source-based evidence¹ to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses consistent elaborative techniques.   | Demonstrates a mostly unified structure that clarifies relationships among ideas and concepts. Contains a strong introduction and conclusion. Uses consistent paragraphing and transitional strategies.   | Demonstrates an effective style and tone, consistent control of language, domain-specific word choice, and a clear awareness of audience. Mostly uses well-structured and varied sentences.   | Contains few errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that do not interfere with the communication of the message.                        |
| 4-Adequate       | Demonstrates a general understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Adequately establishes and maintains a thesis/controlling idea throughout the response. Completes most parts of the task.  | Develops ideas by adequately integrating some specific details and/or citing source-based evidence <sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses sufficient elaborative techniques.  | Demonstrates a generally unified structure that sufficiently connects ideas and concepts. Contains an adequate introduction and conclusion. Uses adequate paragraphing and transitional strategies.   | Demonstrates an appropriate style and tone, adequate control of language, domain-specific word choice, and a general awareness of audience. Mostly uses correct sentence structure with some sentence variety.                        | Contains some errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that do not significantly interfere with the communication of the message.         |
| 3-Limited        | Demonstrates a limited understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. The thesis/controlling idea may be unclear or unfocused. Completes some parts of the task.   | Partially develops ideas with inconsistent details and/or irrelevant source-based evidence <sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses weak or ineffective elaborative techniques.   | Demonstrates limited evidence of organizational structure that connects ideas and concepts. May contain an uncertain introduction and/or conclusion. Uses inconsistent paragraphing and transitional strategies with little variety.                  | May demonstrate an appropriate style and tone, limited control of language, simplistic word choice, and some awareness of audience. May use simple or repetitive sentence structure with insufficient sentence variety.               | Contains several noticeable or distracting errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that interfere with the communication of the message. |
| 2-Minimal        | Demonstrates a minimal understanding<br>of the task, purpose, and audience.<br>Establishes a weak thesis/controlling<br>idea. Completes few parts of the task.  | Develops ideas incompletely with insufficient details and/or sourcebased evidence¹ to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses minimal elaborative techniques.  | Demonstrates minimal evidence of organizational structure that connects ideas and concepts. Contains a weak introduction and/or conclusion. Uses ineffective paragraphing and transitional strategies.  | Demonstrates an ineffective style and tone, minimal control of language, vague word choice, and a minimal awareness of audience. Makes basic errors in sentence structure and uses little sentence variety.                           | Contains serious errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that interfere with the communication of the message.                           |
| 1-Inadequate     | Demonstrates a lack of understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. The thesis/controlling idea may be confusing or ambiguous. Completes few or no parts of the task.  | Demonstrates little, if any,<br>development of ideas. Lacks support<br>for a thesis/controlling idea and<br>provides inadequate details and/or<br>source-based evidence. <sup>1</sup>  | Demonstrates little or no evidence of organizational structure or connection of ideas. Lacks an introduction and/or conclusion. Uses little or no paragraphing and transitional strategies.   | Demonstrates little evidence of style, tone, or<br>control of language. Uses confusing or<br>incoherent word choice and exhibits no<br>awareness of audience. Makes major errors in<br>sentence structure.                            | Contains errors so severe in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that they significantly interfere with the communication of the message.      |

## Vantage Learning 6-Point Informative/Expository Holistic Rubric

Characteristics of Writing — Responses are evaluated based on the following five characteristics of writing: Focus and Purpose; Content Development/Elaboration; Organization; Language Use, Voice, and Style; and Mechanics and Conventions.

#### 6 - The "6" response very effectively communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a thorough and insightful understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Establishes and maintains a clearly focused thesis/controlling idea throughout the response. Completes all parts of the task and may go beyond the limits of the task.
- Develops ideas by adeptly integrating specific details and/or citing credible, source-based evidence<sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses a variety of elaborative techniques.
- Demonstrates a cohesive and unified structure that clarifies relationships among ideas and concepts. Contains an engaging introduction and an insightful conclusion. Uses effective and skillful paragraphing and transitional strategies throughout.
- Demonstrates a very effective style and tone, precise control of language, domain-specific word choice, and an exceptional awareness of audience. Uses well-structured and varied sentences.
- Contains few or no errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling.

#### 5 - The "5" response clearly communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a clear understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Establishes and maintains an effective thesis/controlling idea throughout the response. Completes all parts of the task.
- Develops ideas by successfully integrating specific details and/or citing credible, source-based evidence<sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses consistent elaborative techniques.
- Demonstrates a mostly unified structure that clarifies relationships among ideas and concepts. Contains a strong introduction and conclusion. Uses consistent
  paragraphing and transitional strategies.
- Demonstrates an effective style and tone, consistent control of language, domain-specific word choice, and a clear awareness of audience. Mostly uses well-structured and varied sentences.
- Contains few errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that do not interfere with the communication of the message.

## 4 – The "4" response adequately communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a general understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Adequately establishes and maintains a thesis/controlling idea throughout the response. Completes most parts of the task.
- Develops ideas by adequately integrating some specific details and/or citing source-based evidence<sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses sufficient elaborative techniques.
- Demonstrates a generally unified structure that sufficiently connects ideas and concepts. Contains an adequate introduction and conclusion. Uses adequate
  paragraphing and transitional strategies.
- Demonstrates an appropriate style and tone, adequate control of language, domain-specific word choice, and a general awareness of audience. Mostly uses
  correct sentence structure with some sentence variety.
- Contains some errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that do not significantly interfere with the communication of the message.

#### 3 – The "3" response partially communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a limited understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. The thesis/controlling idea may be unclear or unfocused. Completes some parts of the task.
- Partially develops ideas with inconsistent details and/or irrelevant source-based evidence<sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses weak or ineffective elaborative techniques.
- Demonstrates limited evidence of organizational structure that connects ideas and concepts. May contain an uncertain introduction and/or conclusion. Uses inconsistent paragraphing and transitional strategies with little variety.
- May demonstrate an appropriate style and tone, limited control of language, simplistic word choice, and some awareness of audience. May use simple or repetitive sentence structure with insufficient sentence variety.
- Contains several noticeable or distracting errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that interfere with the communication of the message.

## 2 – The "2" response minimally communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a minimal understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. Establishes a weak thesis/controlling idea. Completes few parts of the task.
- Develops ideas incompletely with insufficient details and/or source-based evidence<sup>1</sup> to support the thesis/controlling idea. Uses minimal elaborative techniques.
- Demonstrates minimal evidence of organizational structure that connects ideas and concepts. Contains a weak introduction and/or conclusion. Uses ineffective paragraphing and transitional strategies.
- Demonstrates an ineffective style and tone, minimal control of language, vague word choice, and a minimal awareness of audience. Makes basic errors in sentence structure and uses little sentence variety.
- Contains serious errors in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that interfere with the communication of the message.

## 1 – The "1" response inadequately communicates the writer's message.

- Demonstrates a lack of understanding of the task, purpose, and audience. The thesis/controlling idea may be confusing or ambiguous. Completes few or no parts of the task.
- Demonstrates little, if any, development of ideas. Lacks support for a thesis/controlling idea and provides inadequate details and/or source-based evidence.
- Demonstrates little or no evidence of organizational structure or connection of ideas. Lacks an introduction and/or conclusion. Uses little or no paragraphing and transitional strategies.
- Demonstrates little evidence of style, tone, or control of language. Uses confusing or incoherent word choice and exhibits no awareness of audience. Makes major errors in sentence structure.
- Contains errors so severe in grammar, mechanics, punctuation, and spelling that they significantly interfere with the communication of the message.
- 1. If applicable with prompt tasks that include sources.